

Replacing the observed object in a dynamic measuring system

M. V. Chashnikov, V. V. Chashnikova

St. Petersburg State University, 7–9, Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg, 199034, Russian Federation

For citation: Chashnikov M. V., Chashnikova V. V. Replacing the observed object in a dynamic measuring system. *Vestnik of Saint Petersburg University. Applied Mathematics. Computer Science. Control Processes*, 2020, vol. 16, iss. 4, pp. 415–422.

<https://doi.org/10.21638/11701/spbu10.2020.406>

In this article the problem of an object state vector estimation is considered. This estimation is obtained by the treatment of measured parameters from several observed objects. In our case, we have two measured parameters that change their values over a certain time interval, but only one of them can be measured at each moment. The problem is to find the moment for switching the measurement from one object to another one in order to minimize the dispersion of one component of the state estimation vector. Previously, the Elfing problem was solved to repeatedly measure fixed parameters using this data in proportion to weight coefficients for processing with the least square method. Then, to change the measured values, a transfer from the discrete model to the continuous one was proposed. This made it possible to obtain an analytical expression dispersion that was dependent of the time moment on the switching. In this article, the estimation of the continuous model error is conducted and the sufficient conditions of using no more than one switching are proven. An example of this method's application is shown to estimate the sea object coordinates using navigation satellites.

Keywords: estimate, observation, measure, dispersion, error.

1. Introduction. Consider several observed objects and the vectors $V_1(t), \dots, V_m(t)$ of their state. We have to estimate the vector q as a result of some measuring. The values of the functions $f_i(q, V_i(t))$ at the moments t_1, \dots, t_n are to measure.

Suppose q is a 2-dimensional vector. Then at each moment t is enough to observe two of the objects V_1, \dots, V_m and to measure the values of two functions from f_1, \dots, f_m . If we use the minimax approach, then we choose such two functions, which minimize the maximal possible error of some linear function $l = cq$ estimate. These two functions we call the optimal measuring basis.

We use the linear model and consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial q_1} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial q_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial q_2} \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

The vector q is to estimate near the point q_0 , the estimate $\hat{q} = q_0 + \Delta q$ we find by the equation $A\Delta q = \Delta d$, where $\Delta d = \tilde{d} - d_0$, $d_0 = F(q_0)$, $F = [f_1, \dots, f_m]^T$. The vector \tilde{d} is the result of measuring. Here two rows of the matrix A are to find, which are the optimal basis. It minimizes the error of $\hat{l} = c\hat{q}$.

The measured functions depend on time. In [1] is shown, that the optimal measuring basis keeps being optimal on some time interval, if

$$\prod_{k=1}^2 x_k(t) \prod_{i=3}^m \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 h_{ij}(t)\right) \neq 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2], \quad (1)$$

where $x_k(t)$ is the k -th component of c in the basis of the chosen rows; $h_{ij}(t)$ is the j -th component of the i -th row in the basis of chosen rows, if the first two rows are chosen.

The statistic approach is considered in [2] for the estimation of a dynamic object state. In our case the vector q is constant. If we use the statistic approach, then have to minimize the dispersion of the estimate \hat{l} . If it's possible to replay the measuring n times, then, how it's shown in [3], we use the first chosen row n_1 times and the second chosen row n_2 times in such proportion:

$$n = n_1 + n_2, \quad n_1 = \frac{x_1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad n_2 = \frac{x_2}{x_1 + x_2},$$

here x_i is the i -th component of c in the basis of chosen rows, $i = 1, 2$. This choice minimizes the dispersion of the estimate $\hat{l} = c\hat{q}$.

2. The discrete model. Consider a statistic model and a two-dimensional space of estimated parameters. Suppose two observed objects are chosen, which satisfy the condition (1) on the segment $t \in [0, 1]$. We can observe them during this time, but at each moment can observe only one of them. The measured values we can get at the moments $t_0 = 0, t_1 = 1/n, t_2 = 2/n, \dots, t_n = 1$.

In the linear model at the moment t_i the row $(\alpha_1(t_i) \alpha_2(t_i))$ is connected with the first object, and the row $(\beta_1(t_i) \beta_2(t_i))$ – with the second one.

At some moment t_N we switch our observing from one object to another. In this case the matrix of the linear system is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(1/n) & \alpha_1(2/n) & \dots & \alpha_1(N/n) & \beta_1((N+1)/n) & \dots & \beta_1(1) \\ \alpha_2(1/n) & \alpha_2(2/n) & \dots & \alpha_2(N/n) & \beta_2((N+1)/n) & \dots & \beta_2(1) \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

The moment t_N must be chosen in order to minimize the dispersion of the estimate $\hat{l} = c\hat{q}$, $\hat{q} = q + \eta$, where η is the estimation error.

Let for example $c = (0 \ 1)$. It means that the dispersion of the second component of the vector η is to minimize.

We solve the equation $S\Delta q = \tilde{d}$, where $\tilde{d} = d + \xi$ is the result of measuring and ξ is the measuring error. Suppose that the mathematical expectation of $E(\xi) = 0$ and the covariation matrix $D(\xi) = \sigma^2 I$. The vectors ξ and η are linear connected, it means that $E(\eta) = 0$, $E\hat{q} = q$, $D(\eta) = D(\hat{q})$.

We sign as S_1, S_2 the columns of the matrix S . If they are linear independent vectors, then we can find the estimated vector \hat{q} by the pseudoinverse matrix S^+ :

$$S^+ = (S^T S)^{-1} S^T, \quad \hat{q} = S^+ \tilde{d}.$$

The covariance matrix of the estimation error is

$$D(\hat{q}) = \sigma^2 (S^T S)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_1^T S_1 S_2^T S_2 - (S_1^T S_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} S_2^T S_2 & -S_1^T S_2 \\ -S_1^T S_2 & S_1^T S_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

here S_1, S_2 are columns of the matrix S .

The dispersion of the second component of the vector \hat{q} is

$$D(\hat{q}_2) = \frac{\sigma^2 S_1^T S_1}{S_1^T S_1 S_2^T S_2 - (S_1^T S_2)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{\frac{1}{n} S_1^T S_1}{\left(\frac{1}{n} S_1^T S_1\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} S_2^T S_2\right) - \left(\frac{1}{n} S_1^T S_2\right)^2}.$$

Consider the functions $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \beta_1(t), \beta_2(t)$. The following equations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^T S_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_1^2(k/n) + \sum_{k=N+1}^n \beta_1^2(k/n), \\ S_2^T S_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_2^2(k/n) + \sum_{k=N+1}^n \beta_2^2(k/n), \\ S_1^T S_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_1(k/n) \alpha_2(k/n) + \sum_{k=N+1}^n \beta_1(k/n) \beta_2(k/n). \end{aligned}$$

3. The continuous model. This model is considered in [4]. Here we'll probably prove everything. Consider the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(N) &= \int_0^{N/n} \alpha_1^2(t) dt + \int_{N/n}^1 \beta_1^2(t) dt, \\ J_2(N) &= \int_0^{N/n} \alpha_2^2(t) dt + \int_{N/n}^1 \beta_2^2(t) dt, \\ J_3(N) &= \int_0^{N/n} \alpha_1(t) \alpha_2(t) dt + \int_{N/n}^1 \beta_1(t) \beta_2(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *If the functions $|\alpha_1(t)|, |\alpha_2(t)|$ are both growing or both decreasing, and so do $|\beta_1(t)|, |\beta_2(t)|$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} S_1^T S_1 - J_1(N) \right| &\leq \frac{|\alpha_1^2(1) - \alpha_1^2(0)| + |\beta_1^2(1) - \beta_1^2(0)|}{n} \quad \forall N, \\ \left| \frac{1}{N} S_2^T S_2 - J_2(N) \right| &\leq \frac{|\alpha_2^2(1) - \alpha_2^2(0)| + |\beta_2^2(1) - \beta_2^2(0)|}{n} \quad \forall N, \\ \left| \frac{1}{N} S_1^T S_2 - J_3(N) \right| &\leq \frac{|\alpha_1(1)\alpha_2(1) - \alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)| + |\beta_1(1)\beta_2(1) - \beta_1(0)\beta_2(0)|}{n} \quad \forall N. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. For each N the Darbu sums of J_1 are

$$\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_1^2(k/n) + \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} \alpha_1^2(k/n) \right),$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_1^2(k/n) + \sum_{k=N+1}^n \alpha_1^2(k/n) \right).$$

The difference between these sums is

$$\frac{1}{n} (\alpha_1^2(N/n) + \beta_1^2(1) - \alpha_1^2(0) - \beta_1^2(N/n)) < \frac{|\alpha_1^2(1) - \alpha_1^2(0)| + |\beta_1^2(1) - \beta_1^2(0)|}{n}.$$

Therefore, J_1 is between Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

Such inequalities can be construct for J_2 and J_3 . □

Let

$$J_1(p) = \int_0^p \alpha_1^2(t) dt + \int_p^1 \beta_1^2(t) dt,$$

$$J_2(p) = \int_0^p \alpha_2^2(t) dt + \int_p^1 \beta_2^2(t) dt,$$

$$J_3(p) = \int_0^p \alpha_1(t) \alpha_2(t) dt + \int_p^1 \beta_1(t) \beta_2(t) dt.$$

If n is large enough, we can consider the continuous model and minimize following function:

$$f(p) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \cdot \frac{J_1(p)}{J_1(p)J_2(p) - J_3^2(p)}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose $p^* = \arg \min_{p \in [0,1]} f(p)$. We find fraction N/n nearest to p^* . It is just the same N , which we have to find.

Theorem 2. *If the functions $\alpha_1(t) = a_1 f(t)$, $\alpha_2(t) = a_2 f(t)$, $\beta_1(t) = b_1 g(t)$, $\beta_2(t) = b_2 g(t)$ are given, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 = \text{const}$, and $|f(t)|$ decreases monotonically, $|g(t)|$ increases monotonically, then for reaching the minimum value of dispersion $D(\hat{q}_i)$ is no more than one switching required.*

P r o o f. Suppose that we have some distribution of the time moments for measuring between functions $\alpha(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t))$ and $\beta(t) = (\beta_1(t), \beta_2(t))$ on the time interval $[0, T]$.

Let's designate $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $I_1 = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, $I_2 = I \setminus I_1 = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$, $k + l = n$ the sets of time moments indexes, which correspond to measurements of $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$.

Designate $\Sigma_1 = \sum_{i \in I_1} f^2(t_i)$, $\Sigma_2 = \sum_{i \in I_2} g^2(t_i)$. Clearly

$$S_1^T S_1 = a_1^2 \Sigma_1 + b_1^2 \Sigma_2,$$

$$S_2^T S_2 = a_2^2 \Sigma_1 + b_2^2 \Sigma_2,$$

$$S_1^T S_2 = a_1 a_2 \Sigma_1 + b_1 b_2 \Sigma_2.$$

Suppose, that $i \in I_1$, $j \in I_2$, $i > j$. Let's change the measured functions at the moments t_i and t_j . Then to the value $S_1^T S_1$ such term will add $\alpha_1^2(t_j) - \alpha_1^2(t_i) + \beta_1^2(t_i) - \beta_1^2(t_j) > 0$ because of monotonous character of the functions $|f(t)|$, $|g(t)|$. Similarly increase $S_2^T S_2$ and $S_1^T S_2$.

Thus these sums reach their maximum values, when all measurements of the function $\alpha(t)$ are made before the measurements of the function $\beta(t)$.

Now we have to prove, that the dispersions $D(\hat{q}_1)$, $D(\hat{q}_2)$ monotonically decrease, when Σ_1 and Σ_2 increase. We use the partial derivatives for it:

$$D(\hat{q}_2) = \frac{a_1^2 \Sigma_1 + b_1^2 \Sigma_2}{(a_1^2 \Sigma_1 + b_1^2 \Sigma_2)(a_2^2 \Sigma_1 + b_2^2 \Sigma_2) - (a_1 a_2 \Sigma_1 + b_1 b_2 \Sigma_2)^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial D(\hat{q}_2)}{\partial \Sigma_1} = -b_1^2 \Sigma_2^2 (a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2)^2 < 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial D(\hat{q}_2)}{\partial \Sigma_2} = -a_1^2 \Sigma_1^2 (a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2)^2 < 0.$$

Similarly for $D(\hat{q}_1)$.

So if for the attainment of the minimum dispersion the switching is necessary, then only one. The theorem is proved. \square

Remark. The only restriction on $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ in Theorem 2 is their monotonically character.

4. Example. Now we'll show, how this method can be applied for the estimation of some object on the geostationary orbit coordinates using the navigation sputniks. For the better demonstration some simplifications are done.

First we need some definitions [5]:

- The equatorial coordinates system $OXYZ$:
 - the point O is the center of the Earth;
 - OZ directs to the North pole;
 - OX in the equator plane directs to the point of vernal equinox;
 - OY is adding to the right coordinates system.
- The Greenwich coordinates system $Oxyz$:
 - the point O is the center of the Earth;
 - Oz directs to the North pole;
 - Ox in the equator plane directs to the Greenwich meridian;
 - Oy is adding to the right coordinates system.
- The sputnik orbits parameters are:
 - Ω is longitude of the ascending node;
 - i is orbit inclination;
 - R is the radius of the orbit (we suppose that the orbit is round);
 - ω is the angle velocity of the sputnik;
 - τ is the moment of the perigee time. Any point can be the perigee, because the orbit is round. Let it be the ascending node, the cross point of the equator plane and the orbit in the north direction;
 - u is the argument. The angle between the radius vector of the ascending node and the radius vector of the current place of the sputnik on the orbit;
 - w is the argument of the perigee $u = w + \omega(t - \tau)$, t is the current time.

The problem is to estimate the vector $q = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, where ψ is the latitude and λ is the longitude of one see object near the point $q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 \\ \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The functions ρ_k , the distances between the object and the sputniks are measured. Here $\rho_k = \sqrt{(x - x_k)^2 + (y - y_k)^2 + (z - z_k)^2}$, where x, y, z are the Greenwich coordinates of the object and x_k, y_k, z_k are the Greenwich coordinates of the k -th sputnik, $k = 1, 2$; $x = r \cos \psi \cos \lambda$, $y = r \cos \psi \sin \lambda$, $z = r \sin \psi$, r is the radius of the geostationary orbit.

The current equatorial coordinates of the sputniks are

$$\begin{aligned} X &= R(\cos \Omega \cos u \cos i), \\ Y &= R(\sin \Omega \cos u \cos i), \\ Z &= R \sin u \sin i. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The current Greenwich coordinates can be found by the matrix B :

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0 \\ -\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\gamma = S_0 + \tilde{\omega}(t - t_0)$; S_0 is the star time; $\tilde{\omega}$ is the angle velocity of the Earth; t_0 is the sun time.

Suppose it's the Greenwich noon at vernal equinox and that's why the equatorial and the Greenwich coordinates are equal. We suppose besides that the whole measuring is about a minute long and the angle γ is too small, so at each time $B = I$.

Now come back to the continuous model

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1(t) = \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial \psi} = \frac{r}{\rho_1}(x_1 \cos \lambda_0 \sin \psi_0 + y_1 \sin \lambda_0 \sin \psi_0 - z_1 \cos \psi_0), \\ \alpha_2(t) = \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{r}{\rho_1} \cos \psi_0 (x_1 \sin \lambda_0 - y_1 \cos \lambda_0), \\ \beta_1(t) = \frac{\partial \rho_2}{\partial \psi} = \frac{r}{\rho_2}(x_2 \cos \lambda_0 \sin \psi_0 + y_2 \sin \lambda_0 \sin \psi_0 - z_2 \cos \psi_0), \\ \beta_2(t) = \frac{\partial \rho_2}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{r}{\rho_2} \cos \psi_0 (x_2 \sin \lambda_0 - y_2 \cos \lambda_0). \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

In this example two sputniks are on the polar orbit:

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 = i_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad R = R_1 = R_2, \quad \tau_1 \neq \tau_2, \\ \omega = \omega_1 = \omega_2, \quad w_1 = w_2 = 0, \quad \psi_0 = \lambda_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Using (3)–(5), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^2(t) &= \frac{r^2 R^2}{r^2 + R^2} \cos^2(\omega(t - \tau_1)), \\ \alpha_2^2(t) &= \frac{r^2 R^2}{r^2 + R^2} \sin^2(\omega(t - \tau_1)), \\ \alpha_1(t)\alpha_2(t) &= \frac{r^2 R^2}{2(r^2 + R^2)} \sin(2\omega(t - \tau_1)), \\ \beta_1^2(t) &= \frac{r^2 R^2}{r^2 + R^2} \cos^2(\omega(t - \tau_2)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_2^2(t) = \frac{r^2 R^2}{r^2 + R^2} \sin^2(\omega(t - \tau_2)),$$

$$\beta_1(t)\beta_1(t) = \frac{r^2 R^2}{2(r^2 + R^2)} \sin(2\omega(t - \tau_2)).$$

It's easy to calculate the integrals $J_1(p), J_2(p), J_3(p)$, because $\alpha_i(t)$ and $\beta_i(t)$ are simple trigonometric functions. The minimizing argument p^* of $f(p)$ from formula (2) can be found by some numerical methods. If $p^* \in [0, 1]$, then we have a switch point. If $p^* < 0$, then we use only the second sputnik, if $p^* > 1$ — only the first one.

5. Conclusion. In this paper more precise bounds are set on translation to continuous model, suggested in [4]. The sufficient condition is proved for no more than one switching in the optional model. An example is considered of the application this method to the sputnik navigation problem.

References

1. Chashnikova V. The sufficient conditions of the measuring basis optimality on the fixed time interval. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2018, vol. 1978, art. no. 100004.
2. Chashnikov M. The stochastic characteristics stability in the problem of the dynamic filtration with delay. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2017, vol. 1863, art. no. 170003.
3. Elyasberg P. E. *Opredelenie dvizheniya po rezul'tatam izmereniy [Solution of motion after measuring results]*. Moscow, Nauka Publ., 1976, 416 p. (In Russian)
4. Chashnikova V. Choosing a measuring basis to estimate parameters of a dynamic object. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2016, vol. 1738, article no. 160004.
5. Churov E. P., Suvorov E. F. *Kosmicheskie sredstva sudovozhdeniya [Space navigation facilities]*. Moscow, Transport Publ., 1979, 287 p. (In Russian)

Received: October 18, 2020.

Accepted: October 23, 2020.

Authors' information:

Mikhail V. Chashnikov — PhD in Physics and Mathematics, Associate Professor;
m.chashnikov@spbu.ru

Valentina V. Chashnikova — PhD in Physics and Mathematics, Associate Professor;
v.chashnikova@spbu.ru

Замена наблюдаемого объекта в динамической измерительной системе

М. В. Чашников, В. В. Чашникова

Санкт-Петербургский государственный университет, Российская Федерация,
199034, Санкт-Петербург, Университетская наб., 7–9

Для цитирования: *Chashnikov M. V., Chashnikova V. V.* Replacing the observed object in a dynamic measuring system // Вестник Санкт-Петербургского университета. Прикладная математика. Информатика. Процессы управления. 2020. Т. 16. Вып. 4. С. 415–422.

<https://doi.org/10.21638/11701/spbu10.2020.406>

В статье рассматривается задача оценки вектора состояния объекта. Эта оценка получена путем обработки измеренных параметров нескольких наблюдаемых объектов. В описываемом случае есть два измеряемых параметра, меняющие свои значения на некотором временном интервале, но только один из них может быть измерен в каждый момент. Задача состоит в том, чтобы найти момент переключения измерения с одного объекта на другой, чтобы минимизировать разброс одной компоненты вектора оценки

состояния. Ранее такая задача решалась для многократного измерения фиксированных параметров с использованием этих данных пропорционально весовым коэффициентам для обработки методом наименьших квадратов. Затем для изменения измеренных значений был предложен перевод от дискретной модели к непрерывной, что позволило получить аналитическое выражение дисперсии в зависимости от момента времени переключения. В данной работе проводится оценка погрешности непрерывной модели и доказываются достаточные условия использования не более одного переключения. Приведен пример применения этого метода для оценки координат видимого объекта с помощью навигационных спутников.

Ключевые слова: оценка, наблюдение, измерение, дисперсия, ошибка.

Контактная информация:

Чашников Михаил Викторович — канд. физ.-мат. наук, доц.; m.chashnikov@spbu.ru

Чашникова Валентина Викентьевна — канд. физ.-мат. наук, доц.; v.chashnikova@spbu.ru